COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Inter-Departmental Correspondence

Date: February 13, 2001

Board Meeting Date:

February 27, 2001

TO:

Honorable Board of Supervisors

FROM:

Neil R. Cullen, Director of Public Works

SUBJECT: County-Wide Mitigation Fees for County Public Road Reconstruction

RECOMMENDATION

Adopt resolutions:

- a) Accepting the report on the status of the County-Wide Mitigation Fees and finding that fees held over five years are necessary for the reconstruction of County Roads and Drainage Facilities in the area of benefit where they were collected; and
- b) Authorizing an increase in the fees as provided for in the Ordinance establishing the fees.

Previous Board Action

Adopted Ordinances authorizing the collection of Countywide Mitigation Fees for County Public Road and Drainage Facility Reconstruction.

Key Facts

- 1. The enabling legislation and the ordinance establishing the fees requires that a report be prepared each year on the status of the fees.
- 2. The enabling legislation also requires that findings be made on fees held over five years that have not been expended.
- 3. We are recommending that findings be made to retain fees held over five years as costs for qualified projects that have been completed or are under construction have not yet been expensed against the fund.

Honorable Board of Supervisors

Subject: County-Wide Mitigation Fees for County Public Road Reconstruction

February 13, 2001

Board Meeting Date: February 27, 2001

Page 2

4. The Ordinance Code allows for adjustments of the mitigation fees based on the change in the Construction Cost Index as published by the *Engineering News Record*.

Discussion

Status of Funds

The attached summary indicates the amount of funds that have been collected together with interest from inception of the fees in January, 1991 through December 31, 2000 (Exhibit "A").

Funds collected in the Mid-Peninsula Area (Burlingame Hills/Devonshire/San Mateo Highlands) amounting to \$115,401, in the South Bayside Area (Harbor Industrial, North Fair Oaks, Menlo Oaks) amounting to \$692, in the Emerald Lakes Hills Area (Palomar Park, Emerald Lake Hills, Oak Knoll) amounting to \$201,483 and in the Rural Area (Pescadero, San Gregorio, etc.) amounting to \$148,025 are over five years old and have not yet been used to finance roadway or drainage facility improvement projects.

The "A" Street reconstruction project (North County), the Devonshire Canyon street resurfacing project (Mid-Peninsula), the Canyon Road reconstruction project (Emerald Lake Area), and the Pescadero Creek Road resurfacing project are or will be completed this fiscal year with the expenditures being charged to the appropriate areas of benefit.

We believe that it is appropriate to retain the balance of these funds as there is a need to reconstruct road and drainage facilities in these areas and the expenditure of the funds has been delayed for valid reasons. Therefore, we are recommending that your Board determine that these funds will be retained and that they be used for reconstruction projects in the given area.

Honorable Board of Supervisors

Subject: County-Wide Mitigation Fees for County Public Road Reconstruction

February 13, 2001

Board Meeting Date: February 27, 2001

Page 3

Adjustment of Fees

The fees as established use the residential fee as the base with commercial and industrial fees related as multiples of the residential, with the exception of the Commercial – Self-Storage fee, which was established in 1999. The ordinance provides for adjusting the mitigation fee based on changes in the *Engineering News Record* Construction Cost Index, which has increased over thirty-three percent (33%) since inception of the fees (with the exception of the Commercial – Self-Storage fee, which has increased over eight percent (8%) since inception of this fee). We are recommending that the residential fee be increased from \$1.25 to \$1.30 per square foot of assessable space with the commercial and industrial fees adjusted proportionately to reflect the change in the Construction Cost Index (with the exception of the Commercial – Self-Storage fee, which will be increased from \$0.80 to \$0.85). The following is a summary of the recommended adjusted fees (per square foot of improved area):

	Initial Fee	Existing Fee	Total Change Since 1991	Initial Fee + Increase	Proposed Fee
Residential	\$1.00	\$1.25	+33.9%	\$1.339	\$1.30
Industrial Commercial- Self	\$1.00	\$1.25	+33.9%	\$1.339	\$1.30
Storage Commercial-	\$0.80	\$0.80	+ 8.8%*	\$0.870	\$0.85
Lodging Commercial-	\$1.00	\$1.25	+33.9%	\$1.339	\$1.30
Retail	\$2.00	\$2.50	+33.9%	\$2.678	\$2.60
Commercial- Office	\$2.00	\$2.50	+33.9%	\$2.678	\$2.60

^{*} fee established - February 1999

Honorable Board of Supervisors

Subject: County-Wide M

County-Wide Mitigation Fees for County Public Road Reconstruction

February 13, 2001

Board Meeting Date:

February 27, 2001

Page 4

Fiscal Impact

There is no impact on the General Fund.

The projects described above and future projects may be financed in part through the use of Road Funds and Mitigation Fees. These projects will be budgeted for during the normal budget process.

Forms of resolutions have been approved by County Counsel.

Neil R. Cullen

Director of Public Works

NRC:TS:sdd

File No.: F-358(10A)

Attachments: Exhibit "A" - County-Wide Mitigation Fee Account Activity 1991-2000

Exhibit "B" - Description of Areas of Benefit

cc: Milt Mares, County Counsel

2/13/2001 10 06

PERIOD ENDING	TRANS	NORTH	MID- PENINSULA	SOUTH BAYSIDE	EMERALD LAKE	SOUTH	MID-COAST URBAN	RURAL	TOTALS
Jun-91	collections interest expenditures	3,850 00	11,371 00 130.83	6,641.00	3,578.00	260 86	36,202.00	16,786 00 224.93	96,038 00 1,464 16 0.00
Jun-92	fund balance collections	3,884.18 8,071 00	11,501 83 37,713.00	6,826.41	3,811.08 49,564.00	17,870 86 60,029.00	36,596.87 78,328 50	17,010.93 37,600.50	97,502 16 312,756.00
		414.84	1,587 98	2,250.41	2,829 02 56,204.10	3,166.21	4,792.64	2,730.08	17,771.18 0.00 428,029.34
Jun-93	collections interest expenditures fund balance	9,769.00 1,025 79 23,164.81	22,449 00 3,926.64 77,178 45	49,624 00 5,564.63 105,715.45	60,625 00 6,995.38 123,824 48	66,511.00 7,829.15 155,406.22	78,688 00 11,850.84 210,256.85	68,853 00 6,750 71 132,945.22	356,519.00 43,943.14 0 00 828,491.48
Jun-94	collections interest expenditures fund balance	3,822.00 1,058.52 28,045 33	26,125.00 4,051 94 107,355 39	40,681.00 5,742.20 152,138.65	60,212.58 7,218 61 (185,460.83) 5,794 84	50,566.00 8,078 98 (46,949.00) 167,102.20	101,519.00 12,229 00 (95,712.95) 228,291.90	44,655.00 6,966 13 (171,877.22) 12,689.13	327,580 58 45,345.38 (500,000.00) 701,417 44
Jun-95	collections interest expenditures fund balance	6,939.57 1,255.96 36,240.86	8,844.74 4,171 59 120,371 72	13,894.92 730.48 (145,686.00) 21,078.05	115,338 99 7,072 84 75,880 83 204,087.50	61,277 95 5,534 90 (74,205.00) 159,710.05	104,661.76 11,767.98 (5,155.05) 339,566.59	39,928 65 5,218.61 93,747 22 151,583.61	350,886.58 35,752 36 (55,418.00) 1,032,638.38
Jun-96	Jun-96 collections interest	5,743 04 1,988 57	19,694.07 6,634 23	94,861.95 5,491 51	83,975 73 13,644.15	73,233.66 11,033 41	147,044.84 23,048 42	51,998 16 9,642 68	476,551.45 71,482 97

2/13/2001 10 06

PERIOD ENDING	TRANS	NORTH	MID- PENINSULA	SOUTH BAYSIDE	EMERALD LAKE	SOUTH	MID-COAST URBAN	RURAL	TOTALS
	expenditures fund balance	43,972.47	146,700.02	121,431.51	301,707 38	243,977.12	509,659.85	213,224.45	0 00 1,580,672 80
Jun-97	collections	15,393 60	14,770.71	110,008.25	111,550.45	89,246 50	136,921.41	48,201.26	526,092 18
	interest	3,168.68	8,950 06	10,282.57	21,058.27	11,899.22	33,967 60	13,350.35	102,676 75
	expenditures	(491.81)	(1,340.31)	(1,901.09)	(3,415.78)	(180,636.59)	(5,352 34)	(7,491.24)	(200,629 16)
	fund balance	62,042.94	169,080 48	239,821.24	430,900.32	164,486.25	675,196 52	267,284.82	2,008,812 57
Jun-98	collections	4,958.41	57,653.61	214,687 85	123,917.50	59,026.48	207,540.76	87,238.62	755,023.23
	interest	3,747.97	11,779.71	19,358 33	30,086.22	11,865 16	47,092.98	18,108.12	142,038.49
	expenditures	(2,254.48)	(1,350.78)	(2,683 66)	(4,966 73)	(1,333 02)	(5,265.92)	(2,110.33)	(19,964.92)
	fund balance	68,494.84	237,163.02	471,183.76	579,937.31	234,044 87	924,564.34	370,521.23	2,885,909.37
Jun-99	collections	6,227.40	83,432.66	104,390.76	110,343.75	88,678.63	225,715.66	76,874 99	695,663.85
	interest	3,095.10	16,590 89	29,198 96	37,097.88	16,528 41	60,393.24	19,506.07	182,410 55
	expenditures	(21,026.29)	(2,392 52)	(5,978.86)	(5,986.97)	(2,407.17)	(8,667.56)	(88,670 71)	(135,130 08)
	fund balance	56,791.05	334,794.05	598,794 62	721,391.97	336,844.74	1,202,005.68	378,231 58	3,628,853 69
Jun-00	collections	12,122.65	70,905.04	54,025.43	107,486.79	94,011 22	226,281.26	63,596.74	628,429.13
	interest	4,571.89	26,238 66	30,425 56	42,483.39	19,918.53	72,157 34	27,790.72	223,586.09
	expenditures	(1,384.39)	(1,246 03)	(12,171 31)	(2,513.66)	(322,630.96)	(576,352.30)	93,878 38	(822,420.27)
	fund balance	72,101.20	430,691.72	671,074 30	868,848 49	128,143.53	924,091.98	563,497.42	3,658,448 64
Dec-00	collections	1,768.75	18,384 23	22,540 00	47,848 86	46,270.32	162,973.39	22,380 99	322,166 54
	interest	1,037.80	6,327.37	9,604.63	12,603.76	2,154 11	14,141.13	8,193.28	54,062 08
	expenditures	(44,960.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00	0 00	0 00	(44,960 00)
	fund balance	29,947.75	455,403 32	703,218 93	929,301 11	176,567 96	1,101,206 50	594,071 69	3,989,717 26

Exhibit "B"

County-Wide Mitigation Fees AREAS OF BENEFIT

Name	Served by Arterials/Highways/Freeways	Major Unincorporated Areas	Minor Unincorporated Areas
North County	I-280	Broadmoor Village Colma Country Club Park	Olympic Club California Golf & Country Club San Francisco Airport Area Guadalupe Canyon Parkway
Mid-Peninsula	I-280/Highway 92	Burlingame Hills San Mateo Highlands Devonshire	
South Bayside	Highway 101/Middlefield Road	Harbor Industrial North Fair Oaks Menlo Oaks	Mobile Home Parks (Haven Road) Ideal Cement
Emerald Lake	Edgewood Road./Alameda de las Pulgas	Palomar Park Emerald Lake Hills Oak Knoll	Kensington Square Whipple Terrace Edgewood Road
South County	I-280/Alameda de las Pulgas	Sequoia Tract West Menlo Park Ladera Los Trancos	Stanford Lands Weekend Acres
Mid-Coast Urban	Highway 1	Montara Moss Beach El Granada Princeton	
Rural	Skyline Boulevard/Highway 1	Skyline Area La Honda San Gregorio Pescadero All other rural areas	

		5
•		
		,

NRC:TS:sdd - February 27, 2001

F \USERS\ADMIN\ESD\Mitigation Fees\BOARDSUP\2001\bos report for year 2000 doc F \USERS\TERRISMITIGATION FEES\ADJUSTMENT BOS 2000 f \users\common\design\97adjust.pes

File No.: F-358(10A)

Board of Supervisors, County of San Mateo, State of California

* * * * * * *

Resolution Accepting the Report on County-Wide Mitigation Fees and
Finding that Fees Held Over Five Years are Necessary for the
Reconstruction of County Roads in the Area of Benefit Where They were Collected

RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo, State of California, that

WHEREAS, County-Wide Mitigation Fees were established by Ordinance No. 3277 in December 1990, and amended by Ordinance No. 3458 in December 1992, Ordinance No. 3697 in January 1996, Ordinance No. 3819 in February 1998 and Ordinance No. 3988 in August 2000; and

WHEREAS, the fees have been collected and used to finance roadway reconstruction projects in six of the seven areas of benefit established by the Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, this Board will consider future roadway and drainage facility reconstruction projects to be wholly or partially financed by mitigation fees; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Public Works has presented this Board with a report on the status of fee collections and uses; and

WHEREAS, said report indicates that funds in four of the seven areas have been retained for a period greater than five years; and

WHEREAS, projects have been programmed for reconstruction in all the areas but have been delayed for valid reasons:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY DETERMINED AND ORDERED that:

- 1. The Report on the Status of the County-Wide Impact Mitigation Fees for Public Road and Drainage Facility Reconstruction as detailed in Exhibit "A" is accepted by this Board of Supervisors; and
- 2. This Board finds that fees held over five years are necessary for the reconstruction of County Roads in the area of benefit where they were collected, and that said feeds shall be retained in the fund until expended.

* * * * * * *

2/13/2001 14 21

PERIOD	TRANS	NORTH	MID- PENINSULA	SOUTH BAYSIDE	EMERALD LAKE	SOUTH	MID-COAST URBAN	RURAL	TOTALS
Jun-91	collections interest expenditures	3,850.00	11,371.00	6,641 00	3,578.00	260 86	36,202 00	16,786 00 224 93	96,038 00 1,464 16 0 00
	fund balance	3,884.18	11,501.83	6,826 41	3,811.08	17,870.86	36,596.87	17,010.93	97,502 16
Jun-92	collections interest	8,071 00 414.84	37,713.00 1.587.98	41,450 00	49,564.00 2,829,02	60,029 00	78,328 50	37,600.50	312,756.00
	expenditures				1000	100	1,1 32 04	2,130.00	000
	fund balance	12,370 02	50,802 81	50,526.82	56,204 10	81,066 07	119,718 01	57,341 51	428,029 34
Jun-93	collections	9,769.00	22,449.00	49,624.00	60,625 00	66,511.00	78,688.00	68,853.00	356,519 00
	interest expenditures	1,025.79	3,926.64	5,564.63	6,995.38	7,829.15	11,850.84	6,750 71	43,943 14
	fund balance	23,164 81	77,178 45	105,715 45	123,824 48	155,406.22	210,256.85	132,945.22	828,491 48
Jun-94	collections	3,822 00	26,12500	40,681 00	60,212.58	50,566 00	101,519 00	44,655 00	327,580 58
	interest	1,058.52	4,05194	5,742.20	7,218.61	8,078.98	12,229.00	6,966 13	45,345 38
	expenditures				(185,460 83)	(46,949 00)	(95,712.95)	(171,877 22)	(500,000.00)
	fund balance	28,045 33	107,355 39	152,138 65	5,794.84	167,102 20	228,291 90	12,689 13	701,417.44
Jun-95	collections	6,939.57	8,844.74	13,894.92	115,338 99	61,277.95	104,661.76	39,928 65	350,886.58
	ınterest	1,255.96	4,171 59	730.48	7,072.84	5,53490	11,767.98	5,218.61	35,752 36
	expenditures			(145,686 00)	75,880.83	(74,205.00)	(5,15505)	93,747 22	(55,418 00)
	fund balance	36,240.86	120,371 72	21,078 05	204,087 50	159,710 05	339,566 59	151,583 61	1,032,638 38
Jun-96	collections	5,743.04	19,694.07	94,86195	83,975.73	73,233 66	147,044 84	51,998 16	476,551,45
	interest	1,988 57	6,634 23	5,491 51	13,644 15	11,033 41	23,048 42	9,642 68	71,482 97

2/13/2001 14 21

PERIOD ENDING	TRANS	NORTH	MID- PENINSULA	SOUTH BAYSIDE	EMERALD LAKE	SOUTH	MID-COAST URBAN	RURAL	TOTALS
	expenditures fund balance	43,972.47	146,700 02	121,431.51	301,707.38	243,977.12	509,659 85	213,224.45	0.00 1,580,672.80
Jun-97	collections	15,393 60	14,770.71	110,008 25	111,550 45	89,246.50	136,921.41	48,201 26	526,092.18
	interest	3,168 68	8,950 06	10,282.57	21,058.27	11,899.22	33,967.60	13,350.35	102,676.75
	expenditures	(491.81)	(1,340.31)	(1,901 09)	(3,415.78)	(180,636.59)	(5,352.34)	(7,491.24)	(200,629.16)
	fund balance	62,042.94	169,080 48	239,821 24	430,900.32	164,486.25	675,196.52	267,284 82	2,008,812.57
Jun-98	collections	4,958.41	57,653 61	214,687 85	123,917.50	59,026.48	207,540 76	87,238.62	755,023 23
	interest	3,747.97	11,779 71	19,358.33	30,086 22	11,865.16	47,092 98	18,108 12	142,038 49
	expenditures	(2,254.48)	(1,350.78)	(2,683.66)	(4,966.73)	(1,333.02)	(5,265.92)	(2,110.33)	(19,964.92)
	fund balance	68,494.84	237,163.02	471,183.76	579,937.31	234,044.87	924,564.34	370,521 23	2,885,909.37
99-unf	collections	6,227 40	83,432.66	104,390.76	110,343 75	88,678.63	225,715.66	76,874.99	695,663.85
	interest	3,095.10	16,590 89	29,198 96	37,097.88	16,528 41	60,393 24	19,506.07	182,410 55
	expenditures	(21,026.29)	(2,392 52)	(5,978 86)	(5,986.97)	(2,407.17)	(8,667.56)	(88,670.71)	(135,130 08)
	fund balance	56,791 05	334,794.05	598,794 62	721,391 97	336,844 74	1,202,005 68	378,231.58	3,628,853 69
Jun-00	collections	12,122.65	70,905 04	54,025 43	107,486 79	94,011.22	226,281 26	63,596 74	628,429.13
	interest	4,571.89	26,238 66	30,425 56	42,483 39	19,918.53	72,157 34	27,790.72	223,586 09
	expenditures	(1,384.39)	(1,246.03)	(12,171 31)	(2,513.66)	(322,630.96)	(576,352.30)	93,878 38	(822,420 27)
	fund balance	72,101.20	430,691.72	671,074.30	868,848.49	128,143.53	924,091.98	563,497 42	3,658,448 64
Dec-00	collections	1,768.75	18,384.23	22,540.00	47,848 86	46,270.32	162,973 39	22,380.99	322,166 54
	interest	1,037 80	6,327.37	9,604 63	12,603.76	2,154.11	14,141.13	8,193.28	54,062 08
	expenditures	(44,960 00)	0.00	0 00	0 00	0.00	0 00	0.00	(44,960.00)
	fund balance	29,947 75	455,403 32	703,218 93	929,301.11	176,567 96	1,101,206 50	594,071.69	3,989,717 26

NRC:BCL:BEK:LE:sdd - February 27, 2001

F.\USERS\ADMIN\ESD\Mitgation Fees\BOARDSUP\2001\bos report for year 2000.doc F\USERS\TERRISMITIGATION FEES\ADJUSTMENT BOS 2000 f\users\common\design\97adjust.pes

File No.: F-358(10A)

Resolution No	

Board of Supervisors, County of San Mateo, State of California

* * * * * * * *

Resolution Authorizing Adjustment of County-Wide Mitigation Fees for County Public Road and Drainage Facility Reconstruction

RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo, State of California, that

WHEREAS, County-Wide Mitigation Fees are collected from applicants for building permits; and

WHEREAS, the fees collected are used for the reconstruction of public roads and drainage facilities impacted by development; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 3988 provides that mitigation fees be periodically adjusted in accordance with the Construction Cost Index published by the *Engineering News Record*; and

WHEREAS, the Construction Cost Index as published by the Engineering News Record has shown an increase in the cost of public improvement construction equivalent to thirty-three and nine tenths percent (33.9%) between January 1991 and January 2001; and

WHEREAS, this Board has determined that an increase in the rates as provided in Ordinance 3988 is necessary in order to have rates that reflect the increased costs of construction:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The rates used to established the County-Wide Mitigation Fees collected from applicants for building permits shall be as follow:

Residential	\$1.30 per square foot
Industrial	\$1.30 per square foot
Commercial – Self-Storage	\$.85 per square foot
Commercial – Lodging	\$1.30 per square foot
Commercial – Retail	\$2.60 per square foot
Commercial – Office	\$2.60 per square foot

2. The rates shall be effective March 1, 2001.
