



COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
Inter-Departmental Correspondence

Human Services Agency

DATE: September 23, 2002

BOARD MEETING DATE: October 22, 2002

TO: Honorable Board of Supervisors

FROM: Maureen D. Borland, Director, Human Services Agency
Yvonne Frazier, Administrator, Alcohol and Drug Services

SUBJECT: Fee-For-Service Agreements with Various Providers of Alcohol and Drug Treatment Services for the Period July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003

Recommendation

1. Adopt a resolution to:
 - a. Authorize the President of the Board of Supervisors to execute fee-for-service agreements with: 1) Asian American Recovery Services; 2) Avalon Counseling Services; 3) Daytop Village, Inc.; 4) El Centro de Libertad; 5) Family And Community Enrichment Services, Inc.; 6) Free At Last; 7) The Latino Commission; 8) Project Ninety, Inc.; 9) Pyramid Alternatives; 10) Service League of San Mateo County; 11) Sitike Counseling Center; 12) Walden House, Inc.; 13) Women's Recovery Association; and 14) Youth and Family Assistance. These agreements contain aggregate contract totals by funding source for the period July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003; and
 - b. Authorize the Director of the Human Services Agency to execute amendments and minor modifications during the term of the agreements; however, as to each agreement, such authority is limited to:
 - 1) reducing the County's aggregate maximum fiscal obligation in the event there is a commensurate reduction in the funding received by the County;
 - 2) increasing the County's aggregate maximum fiscal obligation in the event there is a commensurate increase in funding received by the County; however, said increase shall be limited to a total of \$25,000 per funding source; and/or

- 3) making changes in the types of treatment services provided by the Contractors, as long as the changes have no impact on the County's maximum fiscal obligation to all Contractor's providing treatment services on a fee-for-service basis.

Background

In FY 2001-02 Alcohol and Drug Services entered into fee-for-service agreements with various agencies to provide Proposition 36 and Drug Court alcohol and drug treatment services. These agreements were realized through a Request For Proposals (RFP) process. Individuals receiving these services are referred through either the County's Proposition 36 Team or through Drug Court. Payments made under these agreements are intended to pay for services provided to individuals who qualify through Proposition 36 or Drug Court, and who lack the necessary resources to pay for all, or part, of these treatment services themselves. County payments are not intended to provide the full cost of care for all individuals referred by the County for services. Client fees, paid to the providers, will be used to offset the provider's cost of these services not fully funded by the County.

Discussion

Language contained in these fee-for-service agreements is the result of extensive negotiations between the County and the Treatment Provider's Coalition. Services provided under these agreements are currently being paid under a continuing Resolution. These agreements contain funding from the following three funding sources: 1) Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act (SACPA)/Proposition 36; 2) SB 223 drug testing; and 3) Drug Court.

The Resolution and agreements have been reviewed and approved as to form by the County Counsel's office.

Performance Measures

Alcohol and Drug Services is in compliance with the Human Services Agency's Outcome Based Management (OBM) Program Plan. Below are the recommended Performance Measures included in the OBM Program Plan.

Outcome Based Management Performance Measures	Fiscal Year 2001-02 Data	Projected for Fiscal Year 2002-03
Number of clients entering treatment (all modalities except methadone services).	4,357	4,100
Percent of clients reducing or abstaining from alcohol and/or other drug use at 3 months and 9 months post-intake.	3 months 78%	3 months 75%
	9 months 78%	9 months 60%
Percent of criminal justice involved clients retained in treatment or until completion of program.	50%	50%

Vision Alignment

These fee-for-service agreements are consistent with the commitment to: Ensure basic health and safety for all and goal number 8: Help vulnerable people – the aged, disabled, mentally ill, at-risk youth and others – achieve a better quality for life. The agreements contribute to this commitment and goal by providing Proposition 36 probationers/parolees, and individuals referred for alcohol and drug treatment services through the Drug Court system with the skills and knowledge necessary to live alcohol and drug free lives, which in turn contributes to the health and safety of the communities in San Mateo County.

Fiscal Impact

An aggregate total of \$1,575,109 in funding is included in these agreements, and has been included in the FY 2002-03 Recommended Budget for Alcohol and Drug Services. There is no Net County Cost. Of the total aggregate amounts, funding is as follows:

SACPA/Proposition 36	\$1,301,414
SB 223 Drug Testing	\$ 154,407
CDCI Drug Court	\$ 119,288
Total contract obligation	\$1,575,109

Jane Marks, ext. 6418

Cc: Penny Bennett, Deputy County Counsel

02ffsbdmemotemplate.doc