## FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH ENGINEERING ECONOMICS, INC. FOR BUILDING COMMISSIONING SERVICES FOR THE SAN MATEO COUNTY YOUTH SERVICES CENTER PROJECT

This Amendment to Agreement, made and entered into this	day of
, 2006, by and between the COUNTY OF	SAN MATEO, a political
subdivision of the State of California, hereinafter called the	County, and Engineering
Economics, Inc., hereinafter called Contractor.	

## <u>WITNESSETH</u>

WHEREAS, On November 12, 2002, the parties hereto entered into an Agreement to provide building commissioning services for the San Mateo County Youth Services Center project in the amount of \$191,739; and

WHEREAS, the Agreement was an estimate of services to be needed and required based on the program and the scope has subsequently increased; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to increase the amount of the contract to continue and complete the building commissioning;

NOW THEREFORE, the County and Contractor agree to: Increase the maximum not-to-exceed total payment for additional services of Contractor by \$42,372, from \$191,739 to \$234,111 per attached Exhibit A.

In all other respects, the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties here year first above written.	eto have affixed their hands on the day and
Bul July Consultant Signature	
Consultant Tax I.D. No. or Social Security Number	
Engineering Economics, Inc. 41-800 Washington St., B105 #206 Bermuda Dunes, CA 92201-8150	
	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
	President, Board of Supervisors
ATTEST:	
John L. Maltbie Clerk of the Board	

BOS250YSC EEIAmend1

This contract is not valid until signed by both parties.



## **Engineering Economics Inc**

152 Oakmont Way Los Gatos, CA 95032

Telephone: **408 438 0355** Facsimite: 775 213 0238

July 24, 2006

Jim Sowerbrower County of San Mateo 455 County Center Redwood City CA 94063

Re:

San Mateo County Youth Service Center

**EEI Project No.: 02-02165** 

Dear Jim,

EEI has reviewed our original estimate for the Commissioning Services at the Youth Services Center, and compared that to the current design. There have been considerable changes to the project since the original RFQ of September 2002, mainly the addition of the Girls Camp Buildings and the change in central plant with the addition of the Micro Turbines, Absorption Chillers and all associated controls. The quantity of equipment has also risen considerably since our original proposal. The amount of money we budgeted for expenses will not be used up, as we originally anticipated staffing this project with manpower from out of State, but instead have used local staff, so do not have the airfare and hotel expenses.

Here is our revised schedule of values, and as you can see, the total of \$234,111 is \$42,372 greater than our current contract amount of \$191,739.

	Labor	Expenses	Total
Design Review Phase	9,960	682	\$10,642
Commissioning Plan	6,380	924	\$7,304
Develop Cx Specifications	3,780	437	\$4,217
Construction Phase Activities	175,323	10,646	\$185,969
Cx Report, LEEDs Certification	6,079	437	\$6,516
LEEDs additional Credits	8,505	984	\$9,489
LEEDs M&V credit	8,990	984	\$9,974
TOTAL	\$219,016	\$15,094	\$234,111

Our scope of will work still remains to commission the systems as outlined in the RFP of September 2002, with the exception of the Communication and Paging system, which is being commissioned by others.

Sincerely,

## **Engineering Economics Inc**

Kevin Quigley P.E. Project Manager

c.c. Bill Folkerts