Total Subconsultants \$35,000 | \$18,912 | \$1,675 | \$10,245 | \$5,000

Total CAJA

\$65,832

\$138,570

\$204,402

Total Cost

Christopher A. Joseph Associates

Table 2

Amended Budget

| | | | | | | CA. | JA Staff | : | | | | | | Subconsultants | | | | | |
|---------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|----------|------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------|
| | | Geoff Reilly | Michael Brown | Marc Melinkoff | Dorney Burgdorf | Michele Ross | Erin Efner | Heidi McWhorter | Jennie Anderson | Shannon Lucas | Patricia Preston | Graphics | Reproduction/Direct Costs | Hexagon Transportation Consultants, Inc. | Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers | Tom Origer & Associates | Treadwell & Rollo, In | щ | |
| | Tasks | \$160 | \$135 | \$135 | \$135 | \$135 | \$135 | \$110 | \$110 | \$135 | \$85 | \$60 | 2 | 운 드 | 8 | _გ ^_ | ے ا | BAE | Total Cost |
| Task 1 | Coordinate with County Staff | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,36 |
| Task 2 | Review Existing Info/Prepare Initial Study | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$300 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,06 |
| Task 3 | Preliminary Project Description | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 4 | 24 | \$200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,90 |
| Task 4 | Administrative Draft EIR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i. | Table of Contents | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$420 |
| I. | Executive Summary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,20 |
| 11 | Environmental Setting | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,92 |
| /// | Project Description | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,15 |
| | Impacts Found to be Less Than Significant Environmental Impact Analysis | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,15 |
| | Aesthetics | 4 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | \$160 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,39 |
| | Visual Simulations (3) Peer Review | | | | | | | | | | | | 4.00 | Ψ0 | 40 | • | | | \$3,75 |
| V.F | Agricultural Resources | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,89 |
| | CAir Quality | 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,89 |
| | Biological Resources | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 2 | 16 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,27 |
| *** | Wetlands/Waters Delineati | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | \$1,200 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,35 |
| V.F | Cultural Resources | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,675 | \$0 | | \$2,33 |
| | Geology & Soils | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,500 | \$0 | \$3,40 |
| | Hazards & Hazardous Materials | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$660 |
| | Phase I Environmental Site Assessme | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,600 | \$0 | \$7,09 |
| V.F | Hydrology & Water Ouality | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | \$0 | \$0 | \$14.892 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$16.23 |
| | Land Use / Planning | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,49 |
| | Noise | 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,89 |
| | (Population/Housing | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,37 |
| | Economic Analysis Peer Revie | ew*2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,000 | \$5,32 |
| V.L | Public Services | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,89 |
| V.A | Transportation / Traffic | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 | \$0 | \$28,200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$29,90 |
| V.A | Utilities/Service Systems | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,89 |
| VI | General Impact Categories | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,40 |
| VII | Alternatives to the Proposed Project | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | \$200 | \$1,200 | \$1,020 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$8,26 |
| | Preparers of the EIR | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$500 |
| | Bibliography | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$500 |
| Task 5 | Draft EIR | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 16 | \$4,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,56 |
| Task 6 | Prepare MMP | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,42 |
| Task 7 | Public Hearings/Meetings (11) | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | \$500 | \$1,600 | \$1,600 | \$0 | \$1,145 | \$0 | \$12,22 |
| Task 8 | Final EIR** | 20 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | \$3,200 | \$4,000 | \$1,400 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,72 |
| Task 9 | Final MMP | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$760 |
| Task 10 | Project Management | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,12 |
| | | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$25 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,76 |

* New tasks

^{**}The cost of Task 8 may vary depending upon the number of public comments submitted in the EIR. The budget estimate assumes up to 30 distinct comments on environmental rev