## **County Counsel Review Form**

Date:

June 6, 2008

To:

Glenn Levy

From:

Patricia Gonzales 599-1564

Subject:

Agreement Review and Approval

Contractor: Jadara Group

Maximum Amount: \$278,710

Rate of Payment: FY 07-08 & 08-09 Budget

\_\_\_\_ No changes on the standard agreement form

X The following sections have been changed on the "standard" agreement:

Section No. & Title	Approved As Is	Medifications Required  [Floi Colini) Counsel Use Only]
1.Exhibits	<u> </u>	was stawise quity sounselesses mix) s
3.Payments		
4.Term and Termination	/	
Added 5.Acceptance	/	
9.Assignability and Subcontracting	/	
Added 16.Amendments		

Modifications (Please specify modifications to be made below. Use additional paper if needed.):

1. Exhibits Added- Attachment 1-Proposed Project Plan

Attachment 2-Proposed Contractor Resource Requirements

Attachment 3-Proposed Subcontractor Resource Requirements

Attachment 4-Proposed County Resource Requirements

- 3. Payments <u>Deleted</u>- "The County reserves the right to withhold payment if the County determines that the quantity or quality of the work performed is unacceptable."
- 4. Term and Termination <u>Deleted</u>- "subject to availability of funding". Added "Subject to the Contractor's prompt cessation of new or uncompleted work upon receipt of the notice of termination (with the exception that work may be performed to deliver the materials described above in this Section to the County)"
- **5. Acceptance** Added-"5. Acceptance. Acceptance of deliverables is based on mutually agreed on acceptance criteria ("Deliverable Acceptance Criteria") by both parties. The Deliverable Acceptance Criteria shall be defined for each deliverable in a Deliverable Expectation Document (DED), prepared and accepted by the parties before the commencement of work on any deliverable.

The DED details all acceptance criteria and shall be deemed the reference document for deliverable completion and acceptance. Should any deliverable not meet the acceptance criteria, Contractor will re-perform the associated Services at no additional cost to the County until a) the deliverable meets the Deliverable Acceptance Criteria, b) the County elects at its sole discretion to i) have the Services performed by a separate party or ii) perform the Services itself, or c) the County elects to terminate the contract. In the event the County elects (b) or (c) above, Contractor will refund payment for all Services rendered related to the deliverables that do not meet the Deliverable Acceptance Criteria. In any event, should Contractor deliverables not meet the acceptance criteria, HMS "Subcontractor" will not be responsible and all amounts due for Subcontractor acceptable deliverables will be paid as schedule in Exhibit "B".

- 9. Assignability and Subcontracting Added-"Based on the request of the County, Contractor will enter into a subcontract agreement for part of the deliverables contained and described in Exhibit "A". The County certifies that Subcontractor software meets all of its timekeeping requirements including requested modifications. Should any Subcontractor deliverables not meet the acceptance criteria, Contractor will not be responsible and all amounts due for Contractor's acceptable deliverables will be paid as scheduled in the Exhibit "B"."

  Added-"other", in "Contractor shall not assign this Agreement or any portion thereof to any other third party or subcontract with any other third party to provide services required by Contractor
- 16. Amendments Added-"16. Amendments. The Chief Information Officer or his designee will be authorized to execute contract amendments which modify the County's maximum fiscal obligation by no more than \$25,000 (in aggregate), and/or modify the Contract term and/or services so long as the modified term of services is/are within the current or revised fiscal provisions."

under this Agreement without the prior written consent of County."

Approve Agreement/Exhibits/Attachm	aents
Approve Agreement/Exhibits/Attachmand the notes made in the attached e	nents with the modifications that have been described mail dated June 13, 2008.
M. M. 4 7	6/13/08
Signature	Date

# Glenn Levy - Re: Jadara Contract due Friday 6/13/08

From:

Glenn Levy

To:

Patricia Gonzales

Subject: Re: Jadara Contract due Friday 6/13/08

Pati-

The changes to the Agreement look fine.

The attachments look okay to the extent that I can give any input on them. One suggestion would be to put a label at the top of each one that states in caps/underline "ATTACHMENT 1", etc.

I found just a few minor things I'd suggest changing in the board memo. The only substantive change is that Risk Management ought to review and approve the agreement. I'm not sure if this has been standard recently, but I've been told by my higher-ups that the memo should state that Risk Management has approved all contracts. I'm attaching a redline and clean version of my suggested edits.

On the resolution, I had just a few minor suggestions which are shown in the attached redline. I changed ePMO to EPMO to be consistent with all the other documents we're using. Also, I removed EPMO as an abbreviation since it's only used once. Otherwise, the edits were just suggestions regarding punctuation.

Otherwise, everything looks good. I'm attaching the approval form.

Best for your weekend,

-Glenn

>>> Patricia Gonzales 6/6/2008 1:35 PM >>>

Glenn,

I have made minor additions- Attachments 1-4 (included in a PDF) and revised the payment milestone payment dates/amounts.

I am just going to resend them all. The Memo and Reso have not changed, except for updated dates.

Have a good long weekend. Hope all is well.

Pati

>>> Glenn Levy 5/30/2008 4:34 PM >>>

Pat-

I haven't been able to review all the attachments in full, but assuming you made all the changes listed below. I think this should be in good shape. I'll give you final confirmation on Monday.

Best for your weekend.

-Glenn

>>> Patricia Gonzales 5/28/2008 8:18 PM >>>

Here is our Jadara contract. I have put in your (very helpful) suggested language. I have updated your comments, in blue. The only remaining item for Jadara is to update the Approximate Payment Dates, as they were for the June 3rd BOS meeting. We are trying for the June 17th board date which means I'll turn in the packet on Monday....I know, short notice of course, sorry. Hopefully, this will be the last targeted date. I am sending the agreement to the vendor also for final review, so please let me know if you need anymore information. I am also including a email for the terms and conditions that the vendor had a issues with. I have made the changes you okay'd. I will work on the County Counsel Review Approval Form separately as I want to get this to you asap.

#### Happy Reading!

We have verified with both Software vendors, that the County does not need to sign the software license agreements, therefore, we will not include them in the contract. Another outstanding question is whether the Subcontractor needs to comply with our County ordinances like equal benefits, domestic partnership, etc. I'm waiting for CMO's answer.

#### JADARA AGREEMENT

The main body of the agreement looks fine, but I have concerns about Exhibit A. Although it starts of fairly structured, I feel it begins to devolve into more of a consultant-like list of goals and items. The problem is that there isn't enough information provided in relation to some of the steps, especially the later ones. This could be very problematic if there is later a dispute regarding the services they're providing. Below are some general comments regarding this kind of concern...

Page 8 (and others) - I think for each Step, where there is a list of bullet points, the list should be proceeded by something like this: "Completion of this step will require, but not be limited to, completion by the Contractor of the following activities:" **Completed Requested by Contractor to removed ",but not limited to,"** 

Page 8 (and later) - You've generally ended each activity bullet point with a period. Some omit the period. This should be uniform throughout.**Completed** 

Page 9 (and later) - For each Step's deliverables, again I'd recommend introductory language before the bullet points along the following lines: "Completion of this step will be indicated by delivery of the following documents:" **Completed** 

Page 9 - Step 2 - Three of the bullet points on this page have no description. As such, they become fairly meaningless. We should include some language about what we expect in these regards. It's important for everyone to know what the expectations are.**Completed** 

Page 12 - Again, before the Hardware Recommendations bullet points, I'd add: "The recommendations are as follows:" **Completed** 

Page 13 - The same re Training: "Contractor will provide training on the following items:"Completed

Page 16(now 17) - I think the bullet list at top should start with a heading like "Notes regarding Summary of Task Hours and Deliverables Table (above): "Completed

Pages 16-19(now 17-20)- Starting with "Functionality Requirements by Subcontractor," the document really falls apart. I don't know what the "- Supported" means, and it's not clear to me what this section is talking about. I could assume I know what it means, but a contract cannot be based on assumptions. I think you need to provide more explanation of what's being covered here. **Heading gives more explanation. There's no real simple way to explain this section without a lengthy explanation regarding our 3 custom systems to payroll, billing, etc. If you need a technical explanation, call Tony Yuson at 599-1065.** 

#### **EXHIBIT B-**

I don't understand why TimeControl software license fees are included in the total cost but not the Primavera License Fees. Is there a reason for this? The initial reason was to be able to purchase the software (Primavera) before the contract was executed. We had a deadline to receive a big discount, the old version price. Then, Peter in Purchasing told us he wouldn't order it until the contract was completed...makes senses. However, we continued to leave the software purchase separately. Now, our original price for the software has just doubled yesterday. At this point, we are just trying to get the contract agreed on, so we haven't revisited any substantial contract issues.

Finally, I think we need to have a Board memo drafted before this package can really go to the County Manager. Included, Chris has already reviewed the Memo.

Sorry to ask for so much modification, but I think this isn't quite ready for prime time, as they say. I'm glad to help clarify this more next week. I'm afraid the two-days I had to work with this wasn't enough to give it the full attention it needs.

I hope this input is helpful. Don't hesitate to be in touch regarding questions or responses to my comment.

Best for your weekend.

-Glenn

### Glenn M. Levy

Deputy County Counsel
San Mateo County Counsel's Office
400 County Center, Sixth Floor
Redwood City, California 94063
TEL 650-363-1965
FAX 650-363-4034
glevy@co.sanmateo.ca.us

>>> Joy Cheechov 5/14/2008 11:23 AM >>> Hi Glenn:

Pati is out for a few days, and asked if we could send this over to you for review.

Sorry for the last minute on this one, but we would like to take this contract to the Board of Supervisors on June 3. (We would need to have all of the documents to the County Manager's Office on Monday 5/19.)

This contract is for the implementation of an Enterprise Management Office (ePMO), for ISD.

Jadara will be configuring and implementing Primavera software. Jadara will also subcontract a portion of the contract to HMS, for the time keeping portion of the ePMO. HMS will configure and implement TimeControl software to work with Primavera.

May I ask if you've had a chance to review the Software Licences? Would we need to include the Primavera license in the contract, since we are planning to purchase the software through Primavera, and not Jadara? (For TimeControl, we will purchase the software through Jadara.)

Pati amended Section 5: Acceptance, and Section 9: Assignability and Subcontracting. They are highlighted for your review.

Please let me know if a Friday response is doable.

Many, many thanks Glenn!

~Joy

# County of San Mateo Contractor's Declaration Form

I. CONTRACTOR IN	FORMATION	•	
Contractor Name:	ADARA Group	Phone:	(916) 561-0500
Contact Person: Mohammad Kanaan		Fax:	(916) 561-0700
	020 Hurley Way, Suite 305 Sacramento, CA 95825		
Contractors with contract Contractor com offering a Contractor does Contractor is ex Contractor or less. Contractor	plies with the County's Equal Benefit equal benefits to employees with spo a cash equivalent payment to eligible s not comply with the County's Equal empt from this requirement because or has no employees, does not provi	is Ordinance by: puses and employee employees in lieu of Benefits Ordinance de de benefits to employees g agreement that be	of equal benefits.  byees' spouses, or the contract is for \$5,000 egan on (date) and expires on
Finding(s) of dis Opportunity Cor attached sheet No finding of dis	mmission, Fair Employment and Hot of paper explaining the outcome(s) o	using Commission, or or remedy for the dispass year against the	e Contractor by the Equal Employment
Contractors with original provides its employees  Contractor com Contractor does Contractor is ex the cont Contract	living in San Mateo County up to five plies with the County's Employee Ju is not comply with the County's Employee cempt from this requirement because tract is for \$100,000 or less.	\$100,000 must have days regular pay to be service Ordinand open Jury Service Comments:	ce. Ordinance. egan on (date) and expires on
	y of perjury under the laws of the ed to bind this entity contractually		that the foregoing is true and correct,
XW) (gm	un_	Mohamma	ad Kanaan
Signature		Name	

Managing Director

Title

Date

March 18, 2008

# CONTRACT INSURANCE APPROVAL

DATE:	April 24, 2008						
TO:	Faiza Steele	FAX: 363-4	864 PONY:	HRD 163			
FROM:	Patricia Gonzales						
	PHONE: 599-1564	FAX	: <b>599-932</b> 1	PONY: ISI	120		
The following is to l	se completed by the	denartment he	rfore submissi	ion to Rick Mc	nagement.		
The following is to be completed by the department before submission to Risk Management:  CONTRACTOR NAME: Jadara Group							
DOES THE CONTRACTOR TRAVEL AS A PART OF THE CONTRACT SERVICES? Yes							
NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES WORKING FOR CONTRACTOR: Yes							
DUTIES TO BE PERFORMED BY CONTRACTOR FOR COUNTY: Design and implementation services							
The following will be completed by Risk Management:							
INSURANCE COVI	ERAGE:	Amount	Approve	Waive	Modify		
Comprehensive Gene	eral Liability	2,000,000	Ø				
Motor Vehicle Liabil	ity	2,000,000	Ø				
Professional Liability	, ·			Œ			
Workers' Compensat	ion	1,000,000	Œ				
REMARKS/COMM	Faira Steele	ement Analyst	w	Date L/c	24/08		

/	ACORD	CERTIF	FICA	TE OF LIABIL	LITY INSU	<b>JRANCE</b>	MFB R022 (	DATE 04-19-2008	
PRODUCER WELLS FARGO OF CA INS SVCS INC/PHS				THIS CERT	THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AMEND, EXTEND OR				
			730 F	': (877) 905-045'	7 ALTER THE	ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW.			
PO BOX 33015 SAN ANTONIO TX 78265				INSURERS AFFORDING COVERAGE					
INSU	RED				INSURER A: Ha	INSURER A: Hartford Casualty Ins Co			
					INSURER B: Ha	INSURER B: Hartford Ins Co of the Midwest			
	DARA GR				INSURER C:	INSURER C:			
20	20 HURL	EY WAY STE	305		INSURER D:	INSURER D:			
SA	CRAMENT	O CA 95825			INSURER E:	INSURER E:			
A M P	NY REQUIREME AY PERTAIN, T	NT, TERM OR CONDI HE INSURANCE AFFO	ITION OF A	AVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE II ANY CONTRACT OR OTHER THE POLICIES DESCRIBED H AVE BEEN REDUCED BY PAIL	DOCUMENT WITH R EREIN IS SUBJECT 1 CLAIMS.	ESPECT TO WHICH TO ALL THE TERMS	THIS CERTIFICATE MAY E	BE ISSUED OR	
INSR LTR	TYPE C	F INSURANCE		POLICY NUMBER	POLICY EFFECTIVE DATE (MM/DD/YY)	POLICY EXPIRATION DATE (MM/DD/YY)	LIMIT		
	GENERAL LIABILI	TY					EACH OCCURRENCE	\$2,000,000	
A	-	AL GENERAL LIABILITY	57 SI	BA AZ0473	06/18/08	06/18/09	FIRE DAMAGE (Any one fire)	\$300,000	
		S MADE X OCCUR	ļ				MED EXP (Any one person)	\$10,000	
	X Gene	ral Liab					PERSONAL & ADV INJURY	\$2,000,000	
	<u> </u>						GENERAL AGGREGATE	\$4,000,000	
	GEN'L AGGREGA	TE LIMIT APPLIES PER:					PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG	\$4,000,000	
A	AUTOMOBILE LIA	PRO- JECT X LOC	57 C	BA AZ0473	06/18/08	06/18/09	COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident)	\$2,000,000	
A	ANY AUTO ALL OWNER SCHEDULE		3/ 5	DA A20473	00/18/08	00/10/09	BODILY INJURY (Per person)	\$	
	X HIRED AUT					:	BODILY INJURY (Per accident)	\$	
							PROPERTY DAMAGE (Per accident)	\$	
	GARAGE LIABILIT	TY					AUTO ONLY - EA ACCIDENT	\$	
	ANY AUTO						OTHER THAN EA ACC AUTO ONLY: AGG		
	EXCESS LIABILIT	Y					EACH OCCURRENCE	\$	
	OCCUR	CLAIMS MADE					AGGREGATE	\$	
	DEDUCTIBL	E						\$	
	RETENTION							s	
	WORKERS COMP		<del> </del>				X WC STATU- TORY LIMITS OTH- ER	•	
В	EMPLOYERS' LIA		57 W	7 WEC NZ2259	05/12/08	05/12/09	E.L. EACH ACCIDENT	\$1,000,000	
_			] , <b>, ,</b>	DC NBEEDS	05/12/00	03/12/03	E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE		
							E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT		
	OTHER						E.E. DISEASE - POLICE LIMIT	1	
								:	
DES	CRIPTION OF OPER	ATIONS/LOCATIONS/VEHI	CLES/EXCLU.	SIONS ADDED BY ENDORSEMENT/S	PECIAL PROVISIONS			:	
ፐት	ose usu	al to the	Tnsur	red's Operation	ns. Certi	ficate ho	lder is an Ac	dditional	
Ir				Liability Co					
CERTIFICATE HOLDER X ADDITIONAL INSURED; INSURER LETTER: A CANCELLATION									
County of San Mateo			EXPIRATION DA 30 DAYS WRIT	SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, THE ISSUING INSURER WILL ENDEAVOR TO MAIL 30 DAYS WRITTEN NOTICE (10 DAYS FOR NON-PAYMENT) TO THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER NAMED TO THE LEFT, BUT FAILURE TO DO SO SHALL IMPOSE NO					
15D IIIII FIOOL				OBLIGATION O	OBLIGATION OR LIABILITY OF ANY KIND UPON THE INSURER, ITS AGENTS OR REPRESENTATIVES.				
Redwood City, CA 94063									
			AUTHORIZED REP	AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE					