




000027

pln99-015.cdr 1-18-05 ss 1/20 revised





CDR8\plan99-00015 03-09-0





CDR8\plan99-00015 03-09-0





## Attachment I



Please reply to:

Dave Holbrook

(650) 363-1837

November 15, 2004

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES AGENCY

Thomas Mahon P.O. Box 204

Moss Beach, CA 94038

Dear Mr. Mahon:

Agricultural Commissioner/ Sealer of Weights & Measures

Subject:

File Number PLN1999-00015

Location:

286 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Montara

On November 10, 2004, the San Mateo County Planning Commission considered an

appeal of a Coastside Design Review Permit, pursuant to Section 6565.4 of the

APN:

036-014-210

**Animal Control** 

Cooperative Extension

Fire Protection

LAFCo

Library

Parks & Recreation

Planning & Building

Commissioners:

County Zoning Regulations, to construct a new 2,548 sq. ft. single-family residence on a 5,000 sq. ft. parcel located at 286 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, in the unincorporated Montara area of San Mateo County. (Appeal from decision of the Planning Director approving the Design Review).

Based on information provided by staff and evidence presented at the hearing the Planning Commission granted the appeal and made findings of denial of the project as attached.

Any interested party aggrieved by the determination of the Planning Commission has the right of appeal to the Board of Supervisors within ten (10) business days from such date of determination. The appeal period for this matter will end at 7:00 p.m. on Wednesday, December 1, 2004.

David Bomberger

If you have questions regarding this matter, please contact the Project Planner listed above.

Steve Dworetzky

Ralph Nobles

Jon Silver

William Wong

Sincerely,

Kan Dee Rud

Planning Commission Secretary Pcd1110o 7kr mahon.doc

#### County of San Mateo Environmental Services Agency Planning and Building Division

#### FINDINGS OF DENIAL

Permit or Project File Number: PLN 1999-00015 Hearing Date: November 10, 2004

Prepared By: Dave Holbrook Adopted By: Planning Commission

#### **FINDINGS**

#### Regarding the Coastal Development Exemption, Find:

1. That the proposed residence conforms to Section 6328.5.e of the County Zoning Regulations and is located within the area designated as a Categorical Exclusion Area.

#### Regarding the Coastside Design Review, Find:

- 2. This project has been reviewed under and found to be not in compliance with the Standards of Review Criteria as stipulated in Chapter 28.1 of the San Mateo County Zoning Regulations. Specifically, there was not adequate evidence to support the following standards:
  - a. Is not designed and situated so as to retain and blend with the natural vegetation and landform in that the proposed structure does not blend with the natural contours of the site.
  - b. Is not in harmony with the shape, size and scale of adjacent buildings in the community in that the proposed structure does not relate to adjacent buildings and to the neighborhood.

pcd1110o\_7kr\_mahon.doc

## Attachment J

San Mateo

# Application for Appeal

☐ To the Planning Commission

County Government Center • 590 Hamilton St. • Redwood City CA 94063 Mail Drop PLN 122 • 415 • 363 • 4161

To the Board of Supervisors

ame: THOM45 I MAHON	Address: Po BOX 204
	MOSS BEACH
none, W: 650 728 77/H	CA94038 Zip:
CEL 650 302 2774	
2. Appeal Information	
Permit Numbers involved:	
PLN1989-00015	I have read and understood the attached information regarding appeal process and alternatives.
	☐ yes ☐ no
hereby appeal the decision of the:	
Staff or Planning Director	Appellant's Signature: /
☐ Zoning Hearing Officer	Mahon
☐ Design Review Committee ☐ Planning Commission	Date: 11-23-04
11- 12004	
made on, to approve/deny the above-listed permit applications.	
S. Bane for Appeal	to the second se
Planning staff will prepare a report based on your appeal. In or example: Do you wish the decision reversed? If so, why? Do yo	ou object to certain conditions of approval? If so, then which
conditions and why?	
	I I I My Bon with My
Kationale tor appeal pro	vided by Mr. Beaumont, Mr.
Mahon's representative, and	is reproduced in staff report.

### Planning & Zoning Committee of the MidCoast Community Council

PO Box 64, Moss Beach CA 94038 Serving 12,000 residents

March 18, 2004

Via Email

Ms. Gabrielle Rowan
San Mateo County Planning and Building Division
Mail Drop PLN122, 455 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063
650.363.1841 - FAX: 650.363.4849

RE: PLN 1999-00215: Coastside Design Review and Coastal Development Permit PLN 1999-00015: Coastside Design Review and Coastal Development Permit

The above applications were reviewed by the MCC Planning and Zoning Committee on Wednesday, March 17, 2004. Mr. Mahon did not attend the meeting or respond to my invitation to meet prior to the meeting to avoid any neighborhood conflict. We received both written and public comment regarding this item.

Our meeting ran very late (after 11:30 PM), and today our members have previous work commitments. The issues surrounding these cases are too numerous and complex to respond to fully in such a short timeframe, so in this letter I have summarized our position. A more detailed letter will follow next week.

The Committee voted to unanimously to request that County planning staff deny PLN1999-00015, and to request that the Planning Commission deny PLN1999-00215 as currently submitted. Our decision was based primarily on the basis of the following points:

- Plans submitted were inadequate in detail, and did not include any information on materials, finishes, landscaping, tree removal, grading, or driveway slope and access. Information provided on floor area and coverage was either sketchy (PLN1999-00215) or non-existent (PLN1999-00015). The plans were only on legal size sheets instead of blueprint size, with no easily verifiable scale or dating and incomplete elevations and site plans.
- Plans that were provided showed potential gross errors, such as (PLN1999-00215) a detached garage in the front yard setback and with only a 3' side setback. The slope of the lot does not qualify this project for that exemption.

- We see no indication from these plans that any of the earlier issues regarding compatibility with the size, scale and character of the surrounding community have been addressed.
- Other issues and alternatives, such as re-orienting the lots, lowering the houses toward grade, and increased stepping of the design for better conformance with the topography, have not been addressed.
- Plans that were supplied to the Committee for PLN1999-00015 were substantially different from those supplied to the neighbors in the notification mailing. The neighbors had received no notification of any action regarding PLN1999-00215.

The Committee stands by its earlier recommendations for denial on both these projects, and we see no reason from the supplied materials to change that position.

Thank you for your consideration of these issues. We have heard considerable concern from the neighbors about these projects, enough to warrant a full hearing on the matter. Please keep us informed of the status of these projects. Our Committee will do whatever we can to help reach a compatible solution between the neighborhood and the property owners.

Karen Wilson Vice Chair. MidCoast Community Council, Planning and Zoning Subcommittee